

Neighbourhood Development Plan Consultation Event: 2-4pm, 4th February 2017

Key to response column:

A – already/to be addressed within the NP

B – already being addressed by Parish Council

C – show as potential project in NP

D – comment is unclear – no action

n/a – not applicable to NP – no action

32 PEOPLE ATTENDED AND COMMENTS WERE MADE ON POST-ITS UNDER THE HEADINGS SHOWN BELOW

Comments on the Themes, Vision and Objectives		RESPONSE	REASONING/NOTES
1	Priority for the pedestrian please. Irresponsible parking impacts on the most vulnerable in our community	C	Could initiate assessment of whole village traffic management
2	Renewable energy?	D	
3	Neighbourhood Plan could bring forward proposal to enhance the Square and deal with eyesore on Alms House Hill	A+C (Square) B (almshouse)	Square – include in Policy for village assets Almshouse site – PC already tried with LCC-
4	Speed restrictions needed on Clifford Road	B	PC liaising with LCC
5	Halt sign needed from Bowcliffe Road into Paradise Way. Cars shoot out without stopping	n/a	Already there
6	Don't incur major expenditure on Senior Citizens Shelter – no justification for it	B	PC gathering views on development
7	Scenario planning for size of buildings, impact to the village from the mix of buildings to help people see the future	A	
Comments on Village Assets			
8	Doctors surgery	A	Add to village assets policy
9	Cycle paths	A	Include in Sports & leisure policy

10	No spreading of area with more large estates	A	In Housing policy
11	Garden areas	A	In natural environment policy
12	School	A	In assets policy
13	Wildlife area	A	In natural environment policy
14	Green space – but in reality can these be protected/supported?	A	In natural environment policy
Comments on Housing			
15	Agree on affordable housing – but no visibility of it to date – price of land is the issue	A	In housing policy
16	Small flats would serve a need and take up less space (not skyscrapers though	A	“
17	More small unit housing – starter homes and retirement friendly	A	“
18	No more large houses	A	“
19	More affordable housing. We are an ageing population! Need young people	A	“
20	No more large development, executive housing. More family homes and homes for elderly	A	“
Comments on Transport			
21	Make top of Windmill Road 30mph	B	
22	We need 30mph speed restriction as car speed 60mph down and it's a lit road	D	Not clear where
23	20mph throughout village	C	See comment 1 above
24	More cycle paths	A	Include in Sports & leisure policy
25	Green transport plan for school	n/a	Not in our 'power' – school issue
26	Transport to Tadcaster and York	A	In Transport policy
27	Climate change and the impact on Bramham – utter nonsense and only puts money into the pockets of a self serving industry	n/a	
28	Public transport links to York are poor	A	In Transport policy
29	Many footpaths in the parish are in poor condition – overgrown etc – who is responsible for these	D	Too vague to address
Comments on Natural Environment			

30	Is the beck green area opposite school in Bramham or not?	n/a	No it isn't – but what's the relevance/point
31	More grass near Bramham school	D	
32	Where does wildlife area fit into this?	A	In Natural environment
33	"Special circumstances" re: development in local green space – what does this mean? (See Dean)	D	Can Dean help?
Comments on Heritage			
34	I think the Square heritage – look of - should be preserved	A	Village asset
35	I think the church is a heritage asset	A	"
36	Low Way chimney pots?	A	"
37	Stone posts?	A	Assume mean milestones
38	Concern about Bramham House and Bramham Biggin. Are these non designated heritage assets?	A	Already SHLAA sites
Comments on Projects & Aspirations			
39	Develop Pavilion sympathetically for the benefit of the community – events and activities focused on developing and supporting aspirations. Car boot sales and similar events don't fall into that category	A+B	Already in assets PC addressed car boot sale issue – allowed 1 per year
40	Consider one way systems especially in older/narrow streets	C	
41	Some signs obscured by vegetation	n/a	Too vague to address
42	Playing field not suitable for car boot sales etc	B	See 39 above
43	Capital projects should not process unless adequate revenue support in place	B	PC already considers ongoing maintenance costs of any project
44	Further development on playing field will reduce green space – look at other areas in the village	A	PC has applied for Fields in Trust protection for playing field
45	Car parking at school not required – school should have green travel plan and promote walking	n/a	Not within our 'power'
46	Old folk's shelter	A+B	Already an asset – and PC gathering views on development

General comments			
47	What has been expenditure to date on fees? Please can this be reported in PC minutes/parish magazine?		Report in Parish Magazine that grant has been obtained – no expenditure from precept – and all payment of fees shown in Parish Council agendas & accounts.